

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/617,806	07/14/2003	JoAnn Arceneaux	2003-0962	4170
513 75	90 11/21/2005		EXAMINER	
WENDEROT	H, LIND & PONACK,	OH, TAYLOR V		
2033 K STREE SUITE 800	TN. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1625	·
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/21/2001	•

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/617,806	ARCENEAUX ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Taylor Victor Oh	1625	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHIC - Extender S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u> 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition	on of Claims			
5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdre (Claim(s) is/are allowed. (Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. (Claim(s) is/are objected to. (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	rawn from consideration.		
10)∐ T , ,	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies o	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicatiority documents have been receivau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
2)  Notice 3) Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5)  Notice of Informal I  6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/617,806 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Status of Claims:

Claims 1-6 are pending.

Claims 1-6 have been rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the phrase "substantial amounts of un-cross-linked polyesters" is recited. The expression is vague and indefinite because the word "substantial" does not elaborate how much the substantial amount of un-cross-linked polyesters has been retained during the process. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vrancken et al (US 3,952,032) in view of Paulus et al (US 6,207,744).

Vrancken et al discloses a process of producing an acrylated polyester resin by reacting a dimmer acid, pentaerythritol, and acrylic acid (see col. 15, example 5) as shown below:

565 g. dimer acid containing 19-22% trimer and 5% monobasic unpolymerized acid (EMPOL 1022 of Emery Industries Inc., see description above)
272 g. pentaerythritol
576 g. acrylic acid
400 g. benzene
20 g. sulfuric acid (d = 1.84)
1 g. cuprous oxide

This ester, of dark brown colour, has the following characteristics:

Viscosity: 600 poises at 25°C.

OH value: 25 acid value: 6

Acrylic unsaturation: 5.5 meq./g.

less than 0.1% by weight of residual benzene.

However, the instant invention differs from the prior art in that the claimed process is involved in the use of a mixture of at least 2 different polycarboxylic acids with at least 2 different tetraols; the three free hydroxyl groups retained in the resultant product are unspecified in the prior art process.

Paulus et al describes the preparation of prepolymers containing hydroxyl groups having at least free-radically or photochemically polymerizable  $\alpha,\beta$ -ethylenically unsaturated double bond (see col. 5 ,lines 5-8). For example, polyester acrylates is formed by condensing  $\alpha,\beta$ -ethylenically unsaturated dicarboxylic acids and their anhydrides with polyesterpolyols (see col. 5 , lines 10-13); furthermore, the polyesterpolyols can be simply prepared by esterifying aliphatic, cycloaliphatic and

Art Unit: 1625

aromatic polycarboxylic acids with polyols, such as erythritol, pentaerythritol (see col. 5, lines 10-13).

Concerning the three free hydroxyl groups retained in the resultant product, the Vrancken et al does describe the final product having the OH value of 29 in example 1 (see col. 14 ,line 35). Furthermore, thefianl product with the OH value can be in the range of form 10 to 80 (see col. 13 ,lines 46-47). The claimed value and the prior art vdo not overlap ,but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the similar properties. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in the art to be motivated to reduce the number of free hydroxyl groups in the Vrancken et al product to the claimed three free hydroxyl groups in the resultant product by a routine experimentation in order to improve the quality of the desired product.

Vrancken et al expressly discloses the acrylated polyester resin useful for producing the hard, non-sticky film (see col. 21 ,lines 25-44) and similarly Paulus et al does describe that cured films acquire good mechanical properties if the hydroxylcontaining polyester acrylate prepolymer is used (see col. 4 ,lines 1-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in the art to be motivated to incorporate the Paulus' et al polyester acrylates containing reaction products of at least 2 different polycarboxylic acids with at least 2 different tetraols into the Vrancken et al process.

Art Unit: 1625

This is because the skilled artisan in the art would expect such a combination to be useful for developing the film with good mechanical properties as shown in Paulus et al see col. 4 ,lines 1-3).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 571-272-0689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

""/ Jufe 50h